

Message

---

**From:** Cora, Lori [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=C8850941BF1540C796559DCE75C2F5EE-CORA, LORI]  
**Sent:** 2/11/2015 6:14:10 PM  
**To:** Joh, YoungWoo. [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=7df341e951984bb78582829694821c04-Joh, YoungW]  
**Subject:** RE: District Court Oral Argument on a Region 10 case tomorrow (CBD v. EPA)

You just show up.

---

Lori Houck Cora | Assistant Regional Counsel  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Region 10  
P: (206) 553.1115 | F: (206) 553.1762 | [cora.lori@epa.gov](mailto:cora.lori@epa.gov)

Follow @EPAnorthwest on Twitter! <https://twitter.com/EPAnorthwest>

---

**From:** Joh, YoungWoo.  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 11, 2015 10:10 AM  
**To:** Cora, Lori  
**Cc:** Gallagher, Shirin  
**Subject:** District Court Oral Argument on a Region 10 case tomorrow (CBD v. EPA)

Lori Cora—

Hello, I am currently interning at ORC, and am interested in attending this oral argument. Do I simply show up at the courthouse and ask for the appropriate courtroom, or do I need to arrange something with you?

Best,  
YoungWoo Joh

---

**From:** Gallagher, Shirin  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 11, 2015 10:05 AM  
**To:** Joh, YoungWoo.  
**Subject:** FW: District Court Oral Argument on a Region 10 case tomorrow (CBD v. EPA)

YoungWoo- get in touch with Lori if you're interested in attending. These don't come up that often so you should try to take advantage of it.

---

**From:** Cora, Lori  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 11, 2015 9:53 AM  
**To:** R10-ORC Mail Group  
**Subject:** District Court Oral Argument on a Region 10 case tomorrow (CBD v. EPA)

Tomorrow at 9 a.m. at the Federal District Court Courthouse (7<sup>th</sup> and Stewart), there will be oral argument in Judge James Robart's court room on motions for summary judgment in this APA challenge by the Center for Biological Diversity of Region 10's approval of Washington's and Oregon's 2010 303(d) lists of impaired waters that did not list marine waters as impaired due to ocean acidification.

CBD argues that there is evidence of impacts on designated aquatic uses in the record and exceedances of the pH criteria, thus, EPA was arbitrary and capricious for approving the States' lists not listing waters for OA.

EPA argues that there is insufficient evidence in the record that any state water quality standard was exceeded.

I'm told that getting through security takes time so if you think you want to come to listen, leave sufficient time to be in the court room before 9 a.m.

.....  
Lori Houck Cora | Assistant Regional Counsel  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Region 10  
P: (206) 553.1115 | F: (206) 553.1762 | [cora.lori@epa.gov](mailto:cora.lori@epa.gov)

Follow @EPAnorthwest on Twitter! <https://twitter.com/EPAnorthwest>